## A New Approach to E-Banking

Matthew Johnson matthew.johnson@cl.cam.ac.uk Simon Moore simon.moore@cl.cam.ac.uk

University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory

The 12th Nordic Workshop on Secure IT-systems

# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

### Other Phishing Defences

Software Defences Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

#### Conclusion

# Outline

# Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

Other Phishing Defences Software Defences

Token Defences Mobile Phones

Internet Shopping

Conclusion

#### **Current Attacks**

Common attacks very simple

- Just harvest credentials
- Easy to defend against
- Aim of current defences
- Complex attacks are easy
  - Real-time attacks—seen in the wild
  - Re-writing trojans—seen in the wild
  - DNS pharming—seen in the wild

#### Conclusion

Stopping simple attacks will just cause attackers to use complex attacks.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三回日 のの⊙

### Current Attacks

Common attacks very simple

- Just harvest credentials
- Easy to defend against
- Aim of current defences
- Complex attacks are easy
  - Real-time attacks—seen in the wild
  - Re-writing trojans—seen in the wild
  - DNS pharming—seen in the wild

#### Conclusion

Stopping simple attacks will just cause attackers to use complex attacks.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三回日 のの⊙

#### Current Attacks

- Common attacks very simple
  - Just harvest credentials
  - Easy to defend against
  - Aim of current defences
- Complex attacks are easy
  - Real-time attacks—seen in the wild
  - Re-writing trojans—seen in the wild
  - DNS pharming—seen in the wild

#### Conclusion

Stopping simple attacks will just cause attackers to use complex attacks.

#### Current Attacks

- Common attacks very simple
  - Just harvest credentials
  - Easy to defend against
  - Aim of current defences
- Complex attacks are easy
  - Real-time attacks—seen in the wild
  - Re-writing trojans—seen in the wild
  - DNS pharming—seen in the wild

#### Conclusion

Stopping simple attacks will just cause attackers to use complex attacks.

# Outline

### Phishing

### Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

Other Phishing Defences Software Defences

Token Defences Mobile Phones

### Internet Shopping

Conclusion

# Secure UI

#### Secure UI

The key to securing internet banking is transparency for the user in what they are authorizing.

This requires a secure interface to the user, not just to the user's computer.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三国 のへで

# Secure UI

#### Secure UI

The key to securing internet banking is transparency for the user in what they are authorizing.

This requires a secure interface to the user, not just to the user's computer.

# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

#### Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

# Other Phishing Defences

Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

Conclusion

# Banking Dongle

- Cheap (enough)
- Secure communication
- Display
- Input
- PC can be compromized

# Banking Dongle Architecture



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ 필필 - 외۹⊙

### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bank} \\ \mathsf{Session} \ \mathsf{Keys} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \rightarrow$ 



- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Bank} \\ \text{Session Keys} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 



- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Bank} \\ \text{Session Keys} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 



- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Bank} \\ \text{Session Keys} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 



- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bank} \\ \mathsf{Session} \ \mathsf{Keys} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 

Device User  $\leftarrow$  Key ACK Transaction  $\rightarrow$  $\leftarrow$  Auth

- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

BankDeviceSession Keys  $\rightarrow$  $\leftarrow$  Key ACKTransaction  $\rightarrow$ Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 

 $\leftarrow$  Auth

User

- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Bank} & \text{Device} & \text{User} \\ \text{Session Keys} \rightarrow & & \\ & \leftarrow \text{Key ACK} \\ \text{Transaction} \rightarrow & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \leftarrow \text{Auth} \\ & \leftarrow \text{Auth} \end{array}$ 

- Encryption with long-term keys
- Session encryption
- Trusted UI



# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

#### Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

Other Phishing Defences Software Defences Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

Conclusion

- Credit cards look out for the bank's interests
- Tills look out for the shop's interests
- Nothing looks out for the customer's interests

Can our device look out for the customer's interests?

- Credit cards look out for the bank's interests
- Tills look out for the shop's interests
- Nothing looks out for the customer's interests

Can our device look out for the customer's interests?

- Banks dispute claims of phantom transactions...
- .... yet claim they can't disclose keys
- Customer gets receipts with MACs for valid transactions...
- ... but cannot prove they didn't make a transaction

Provide the consumer with a verifiable audit trail which denies phantom transactions.

- Banks dispute claims of phantom transactions...
- ... yet claim they can't disclose keys
- Customer gets receipts with MACs for valid transactions...
- ... but cannot prove they didn't make a transaction

Provide the consumer with a verifiable audit trail which denies phantom transactions.

### Protocol

Bank Session Keys →

Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 

 $MAC_B(\dots)$ 

Full Audit Protocol

← Key ACK

Transaction -

← Auth, h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), MAC<sub>D</sub>(Transaction)

LOG: Transaction,  $MAC_B(...)$ , h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), log nonce  $\leftarrow$  Auth

#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bank}\\ \mathsf{Session} \ \mathsf{Keys}\\ \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \rightarrow$ 

 $MAC_B(\dots)$ 

Full Audit Protocol

#### Device

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Key}\;\mathsf{ACK}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 

← Auth, h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), MAC<sub>D</sub>(Transaction)

LOG: Transaction,  $MAC_B(...)$ , h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), log nonce  $\leftarrow \mathsf{Auth}$ 

User

#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bank}\\ \mathsf{Session} \ \mathsf{Keys}\\ \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 

 $MAC_B(\dots)$ 

Full Audit Protocol

#### Device

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Key}\;\mathsf{ACK}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 

 $\leftarrow Auth$ 

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

User

 $\leftarrow \text{Auth, } h(\text{previous log} \\ \text{entry}), h(\text{next log nonce}), \\ MAC_D(\text{Transaction})$ 

LOG: Transaction,  $MAC_B(...)$ , h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), log nonce

#### Protocol

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Bank}\\ \mathsf{Session} \ \mathsf{Keys}\\ \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \rightarrow$ 

 $MAC_B(\dots)$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

Full Audit Protocol

#### Device

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Key}\;\mathsf{ACK}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Auth}$ 

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

User

 $\leftarrow \text{Auth, } h(\text{previous log} \\ \text{entry}), h(\text{next log nonce}), \\ MAC_D(\text{Transaction})$ 

LOG: Transaction,  $MAC_B(...)$ , h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), log nonce

#### Protocol

Bank Session Keys →

Transaction  $\rightarrow$ 

 $MAC_B(\dots)$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

Full Audit Protocol

#### Device

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Key}\;\mathsf{ACK}$ 

 $\mathsf{Transaction} \to$ 

 $\leftarrow \mathsf{Auth}$ 

(日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)
 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

 (日)

User

 $\leftarrow \text{Auth, } h(\text{previous log} \\ \text{entry}), h(\text{next log nonce}), \\ MAC_D(\text{Transaction})$ 

LOG: Transaction,  $MAC_B(...)$ , h(previous log entry),h(next log nonce), log nonce

# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

### Other Phishing Defences

Software Defences Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

Conclusion

# Software Defences

- Mostly concentrate on common, simple attacks
- Heuristics and blacklists—can't catch all attacks
- Don't stop current attacks
- By definition can't stop a compromised terminal

# Token Defences

#### Tokens

- APACS: must have dual-factor
- Often only window dressing
- Stop simple attacks
- ► Rarely more





# **Mobile Phones**

- Don't prevent all attacks
- Do we trust mobile phones?

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三日 < つへの</li>

# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

Other Phishing Defences Software Defences

Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

Conclusion

# Internet Shopping

 eBanking is not the only place you perform financial transactions

- Secure internet shopping is desirable
- Verified-by-Visa and SecureCode

# Internet Shopping

 eBanking is not the only place you perform financial transactions

- Secure internet shopping is desirable
- Verified-by-Visa and SecureCode

# Outline

### Phishing

Secure UI

Banking Dongle Protocol

Consumer Protection Audit Protocol

Other Phishing Defences Software Defences

Token Defences Mobile Phones

#### Internet Shopping

#### Conclusion

# Conclusion

Current anti-phishing concentrates on current phishing

- Complicated phishing is easy
- The banking dongle addresses all phishing attacks
- Also provides protection for the consumer

# Conclusion

Current anti-phishing concentrates on current phishing

- Complicated phishing is easy
- The banking dongle addresses all phishing attacks
- Also provides protection for the consumer

# Any Questions?

うせん 川田 スポッスポッス ロッ

$M_1 =$	I, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}, MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK" , Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"ACK", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , MAC $_{\mathcal{K}_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , MAC <sub><math>\mathcal{K}_{BD2}</math></sub> ( $M_3$ )	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$M_1 =$	I, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{LT}}}, MAC_{\mathcal{K}_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK", Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"ACK", Len, $IV$ , $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD_1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_3)$	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

# (1) $M_2 = I + 1, "ACK", Len, D$ $D \rightarrow B$ : "ACK", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD_1}}}$ , MAC<sub>K\_{BD\_2</sub>(M<sub>2</sub>) (2)(3)(4)(5)(6)

$M_1 =$	$I$ , "INIT", Len, D, $K_{BD1}$ , $K_{BD2}$	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}, MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK" , Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	" $ACK$ ", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , MAC <sub><math>\mathcal{K}_{BD2}</math></sub> ( $M_3$ )	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$M_1 =$	I, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK", Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"ACK", Len, $IV$ , $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD_1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_3)$	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$M_1 =$	Ι, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}, MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK" , Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"ACK", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD_1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_3)$	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$M_1 =$	I, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	<i>I</i> + 1, " <i>ACK</i> ", <i>Len</i> , <i>D</i>	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	" $ACK$ ", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{K_{BD_1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_3)$	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N + 1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$M_1 =$	I, "INIT", Len, D, K <sub>BD1</sub> , K <sub>BD2</sub>	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"INIT", Len, IV, $\{M_1\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}, MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_1)$	(1)
$M_2 =$	I + 1, "ACK" , Len, D	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"ACK", Len, IV, $\{M_2\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , MAC $_{\mathcal{K}_{BD_2}}(M_2)$	(2)
$M_3 =$	N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type	
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TRANS", Len, IV, $\{M_3\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , MAC <sub><math>\mathcal{K}_{BD2}</math></sub> ( $M_3$ )	(3)
$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	N+1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)

$$\begin{split} M_{1} &= I, "INIT", Len, D, K_{BD1}, K_{BD2} \\ B \to D : "INIT", Len, IV, \{M_{1}\}_{E_{K_{LT}}}, MAC_{K_{LTM}}(M_{1}) & (1) \\ M_{2} &= I + 1, "ACK", Len, D \\ D \to B : "ACK", Len, IV, \{M_{2}\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_{2}) & (2) \\ M_{3} &= N, "TRANS", Len, D, transaction, Type \\ B \to D : "TRANS", Len, IV, \{M_{3}\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_{3}) & (3) \\ D \to U : transaction & (4) \\ U \to D : Auth & (5) \\ M_{6} &= N + 1, "AUTH", Len, D, transaction, Type, Auth \\ D \to B : "AUTH", Len, IV, \{M_{6}\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_{6}) & (6) \end{split}$$

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三日 < つへの</li>

$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	$N + 1$ , "AUTH", Len, D, $h(O_{I+1})$ , $h(L_{I-1})$ ,	
	transaction, Type, Auth, $MAC_{K_D}$ (transaction)	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}, MAC_{\mathcal{K}_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)
$M_7 =$	$MAC_{K_B}(N, I, "TACK", Len, D, transaction,$	
	$h(O_{I+1}), h(L_{I-1}), Type, Auth, MAC_{K_D}$ (transactio	n))
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TACK", Len, IV, $\{M_7\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_7)$	(7)
$L_I =$	$N, I, Len, Type, transaction, M_7,$	
	$h(L_{I-1}), h(O_{I+1}), O_I, Auth$	
$D \rightarrow L$ :	$L_I, MAC_{K_D}(L_I)$	(8)

$D \rightarrow U$ :	transaction	(4)
$U \rightarrow D$ :	Auth	(5)
$M_6 =$	$N + 1$ , "AUTH", Len, D, $h(O_{I+1}), h(L_{I-1}), h(L$	
	transaction, $Type$ , $Auth$ , $MAC_{K_D}$ (transaction)	
$D \rightarrow B$ :	"AUTH", Len, IV, $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$	(6)
$M_7 =$	$MAC_{K_B}(N, I, "TACK", Len, D, transaction,$	
	$h(O_{I+1}), h(L_{I-1}), Type, Auth, MAC_{K_D}$ (transaction)	ion))
$B \rightarrow D$ :	"TACK", Len, IV, $\{M_7\}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_{BD1}}}$ , $MAC_{\mathcal{K}_{BD2}}(M_7)$	(7)
$L_I =$	$N, I, Len, Type, transaction, M_7,$	
	$h(L_{I-1}), h(O_{I+1}), O_I, Auth$	
$D \rightarrow L$ :	$L_I, MAC_{K_D}(L_I)$	(8)

$\square$	11	11.		trans		action	
$\nu$	0		ιı		29	CUI	OII

 $U \rightarrow D$  : Auth

(4)(5)

 $M_{6} = N + 1, "AUTH", Len, D, h(O_{I+1}), h(L_{I-1}),$ transaction, Type, Auth, MAC<sub>KD</sub>(transaction)

$$D \to B$$
: "AUTH", Len, IV,  $\{M_6\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_6)$  (6)

- $M_{7} = MAC_{K_{B}}(N, I, "TACK", Len, D, transaction,$  $h(O_{I+1}), h(L_{I-1}), Type, Auth, MAC_{K_{D}}(transaction))$
- $B \to D$ : "TACK", Len, IV,  $\{M_7\}_{E_{K_{BD1}}}, MAC_{K_{BD2}}(M_7)$  (7)
  - $L_{I} = N, I, Len, Type, transaction, M_{7},$  $h(L_{I-1}), h(O_{I+1}), O_{I}, Auth$

 $D \rightarrow L: L_I, MAC_{K_D}(L_I)$ 

(8)

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三日 < つへの</li>

# Licence Attribution

Original SecurID Image by Mateusz Adamowski http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:Mateusza licenced under Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike 1.0 http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/1.0/. You are free to distribute my altered version under the same licence.

Copyright Matthew Johnson

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that redistribution retain the above copyright notice and these conditions.